



REFLECTING ON CHANGE AND THE MANAGEMENT OF NON-STANDARD SUBMISSIONS IN TYPOGRAPHY

Jeanne-Louise Moys

OVERVIEW

Online submission, feedback and marking has been adopted within the Department of Typography over the last four years. This case study outlines the experience of change and the management of non-standard kinds of submission. It also considers the impact of these changes, in particular, how the shift to online assessment has triggered pedagogical debate and reflection.

OBJECTIVES

Typography has been keen to continue to support the move from offline to online submission, feedback and grading, where possible. In particular, the Department has wanted to ensure a more consistent and streamlined approach to managing assessment, especially given the range of diverse submission types within Typography programmes. The Department were also very keen to ensure that online marking tools allowed colleagues to provide feedback that supports students' design literacy. In this respect, markers aim to give feedback designed to allow for openness in the ways students think and that builds students' confidence to develop their own design judgement.

CONTEXT

The University has a long-term vision to move toward online assessment, where practical, and improve underlying processes. In 2015–6, the Department of Typography adopted a policy of either online submission or dual submission (where students are asked to submit both an online digital 'copy' and in material form as relevant to the particular deliverables of different design briefs) across the undergraduate degree. Paper-based feedback forms were replaced with online rubrics. The Department mainly made use of Blackboard as a marking tool but with some further use of Turnitin, particularly for essay based assessment. The Department has undertaken this change in the context of growing student numbers, increasing diversity of student cohorts and growing numbers of international students. The trends have increased the need to adopt more efficient and streamlined assessment processes.

IMPLEMENTATION

Over the past four years the Department has supported student online submission and the increased use of marking tools. In 2014, The Head of Department and I initially worked together to explore different online tools to find sustainable assessment practices for increasing cohorts. We liaised with our IT partners who encouraged us to work with Maria Papaefthimiou – as they were aware that the University was setting up a new TEL team. Maria introduced us to Blackboard rubrics, which we piloted for both practical and written forms of assessment.

These early initiatives were reviewed ahead of our decision to adopt online assessment for all undergraduate coursework (with a few exceptions such as technical tasks, examinations and tasks where self or peer assessment plays a particular role in the learning process). I then translated our paper-based forms into a set of Blackboard rubric templates for colleagues to work with and provided a workshop and video resources to support the transition.

For almost every submitted piece of work, students receive feedback from colleagues using either Turnitin or the Blackboard marking tool. Each piece has an online submission point so that colleagues can provide feedback online, often using the rubrics function within the Blackboard marking tool.

One of the challenges faced by the Department has been managing non-standard types of submission. Typography employs a particularly broad range of assessment types including self- and peer-assessment and group work. It also handles a range of different physical submissions such as books or posters and assessment involving creating designs like websites and app prototypes that exist only in digital form.



Because of the nature of the work, dual submission is common. Our policy of online submission for written work and dual submission for practical work ensures that – regardless of the nature of the work – students receive feedback and grades in a consistent manner throughout their degree.

More recently, we have introduced some new practices that support the development of professional skills and enhance the transparency of group work. For example, professional practice assignments use a project management app, Trello. Students are assessed on their usage and the content (including reflection) they input into the app. The tutor can, for example, set up a Trello group and monitor group activity. Some practical modules require students to use prototyping software or create videos. In these cases, it might be easier for students to share links to this content either by submitting the link itself online to Blackboard or to a dedicated Typography submission e-mail address monitored by administrative colleagues (although this second approach may change as we work with the EMA Team).

A second issue faced by the Department during implementation, as a result of the significant diversity of assessment, is that the management of online submission can become confusing for students in terms of what exactly they should submit and how. The diversity of assessment allows students to demonstrate a range of learning outcomes and broad skills base but the Department has had to ensure that students fully understand the range of submission practices. This challenge exists both in Part 1 when students are being introduced to new practices and in Parts 2 and 3 where a single design brief may have multiple deliverables. We are continually working to find the best balance between ensuring the kind of submission is always appropriate to the learning outcomes, provides students with experience in industry standard software and tools, and is accompanied by clear guidance about submission requirements.

IMPACT

The shift from offline to online assessment within the Department has led to a range of changes to the staff and student experience:

- 1. Online feedback for students has meant that they now always know where their feedback is. There is no need for them to contact their tutors to access content.
- 2. For some staff, the use of online marking and feedback has meant spending some time getting used to the interface and learning about the functionality of the tools, particularly the Blackboard marking tool. There have been some issues surrounding the accessibility of rubrics within Blackboard and their consistent use, which the Department has had to work through. In general colleagues are now reporting that online marking has significantly reduced marking time, especially where more detailed rubrics have been developed and trialled in the current academic year.

3. The Department has spent time thinking carefully about the consistency of the student assessment experience and making the most of the functionality of the tools to make marking easier and, potentially, quicker. As a result, there is a sense that the practices adopted are more sustainable and streamlined, which has been important given rising student numbers and increasingly diverse cohorts.

REFLECTIONS

Over the last year, following recommendations from Periodic Review, the Department has been trialling different practices such as the creation of much more detailed rubrics. As noted above, detailed rubrics seem to reduce marking and feedback time, while providing students with more clarity about the specific criteria used to assess individual projects. However, these do not always accommodate the range of ways in which students can achieve the learning outcomes for creative briefs or encourage the design literacy and independent judgment we want students to develop. We are also working on ensuring that the terminology used in these rubrics is mapped appropriately to the level of professional skill expected in each part of the degree. The Department is currently looking at the impact of this activity to identify best practice.

| | This assessment rubric is structured to help you identify which areas to focus on for resubmasion. | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| vic Detail | | | | | | |
| PIC LIGHT | | | | | | |
| | | Levels of Achievement | | | | |
| Criteria | | Fully realised | Well realised | Satisfactorily realised | Weakly realised | Not realised |
| The app store preview/review makes the purpose and uncleanality clear and is appropriate to the intended target sudence, CR, The submission is effectively supported by a clear and relevant summary of the app concept, USP and sudence. | | Excellent - coherent and well-focused with just the right amount of detail | Good - a fitte more detail or focus required | Satisfactory - recommend you revolv this to make your idea/audience/USP much cleaner | Substantial reviewn required to make this effective. | insufficient engagement with this attribute |
| Realise effective dealge solutions for a native app using an appropriate range of tools, including inVesion for an equivalent prototyping tool to create an interactive prototype and any other tools used to create images and text | | Excellent use of tools to realise your prototype affectively | Good use of tools but some room to refine or develop the technical aspects of your work a little bit more | Babietactory use of tools but lots more accept to develop this further. May be some sectors missing that you need to add to sufficiently realise your prototype. | Week use of tools or prototype seemed substantially incomplete or underdeveloped | insufficient angegement with this attribute |
| Demonstrate an understanding of key interaction conventions for mobile apps | | Excellent engagement with current conventions to support user engagement | Good engagement with current conventions to support user engagement – room to improve in some sections but overall a good start | Satisfactory engagement with current conventions to support user engagement but lots more room to improve LIK | Week engagement with conventions - explore how you can draw on mail practices to develop your UX effectively | insufficient engagement with this attribute |
| Achieve an effective presentation of your ideas through your choice of text, images and design attributes | | Excellent synthesis of contant and design attributes | Meetity good synthesis of content and design attributes but roam to improve | Batisfactory or inconsistent synthesis of content and design, pay more attantion to sourcing content to help you make your ideas | Week synthesis of content and design attributes, pay substantially more attention to content to help you mallee your ideas | insufficient engegement with this attribute |
| Demonstrate an understanding of key design principles for small coreen digital design | | Excellent and convincing mailtablon - this feels like it has potential to be a real app | Good realisation - but pay more attinition to how you fire tune this to make it feel like a real app | Satisfactory realisation but much more attention to some of the subtlettee of small screen interface design required. Engage with proportion and scale more carefully. | Week realisation, much more engagement with real apps required to inform your approach to your work. Rethink your spatial organisation. | inaufficient engagement with this attributo |
| Semanatrate an applied understanding of how typographic differentiation effectively supports UX and a range of reading trategies | | Excellent use of typographic differentiation to effectively support UK and a range of reading antatogives. | Good use of hypographic differentiation to support UX and a range of reading sitelargies but near to improve the clarithytication of your sypographic differentiation in places ar consider a greater range of reading situalsges/user engagement | Batefactory use of typographic differentiation but am to extend how you use this to effectively support UK and a comprehensive range of mading strategies. | Weak engagement with typographic differentiation, does not sufficiently support clear UK and/or a range of reading strategies. | Insufficient engagement with this attribute |
| Jae of images (icons, illustrations, photographs, graphic lements) | | Excellent use of images that enhance both your Ull and UX | Good use of images but develop this aspect further to really onhance both your UI and UK | Reasonable use of images but develop this aspect further to really enhance both your Uhand UX | Week or insufficient use of images - rework this aspect to substantially contribute to before realisation of both your Ut and UX | Insufficient engagement with this attribute |
| The originality of your UI (visual identity) and its appropriateness for your audience (with an emphasis on colour, typographic and apatial realisation) | | Clear, distinct and really engaging for your audience | Clear and appropriate but room to take this to the next level | Babahactory attempt but still a bit bland, develop this aspect further | Incoherent and/or inappropriate visual identity, substantial revision required | insufficient engegement with this attribute |
| The app icon is effective | | Great logo, lots of potential to be distinct and momorable, possibly some minor refinaments required | Logo is based on a good idea but needs a bit more work to great an edge | Logo is based on a wasonable idea but needs to evolve further to really work as an app logo | Logo is weak and needs to be reworked substantially. Try and experiment with some new ideas once you've had a bit of distance from the project. | insufficient engogement with this attribute |
| Attention to detail | | Exemplary or nearly esemplary | Minor refinaments required | Much more attention to detail required | Weak attention to detail | insufficient engagement with this attribute |

Typography is keen to continue to provide a range of assessment options necessary for developing professional skills and industryrelevant portfolios within the discipline. We are committed to complementing this diversity with an assessment and feedback process that gives students a reassuring level of consistency and enables them to evaluate their performance across modules.

There is some scope to develop the marking tools being used. It would, for example, be very helpful if Blackboard could develop a feature where students can access their feedback before they can see their marks or if it allowed colleagues to give a banded mark (such as 60-64), which is appropriate formative feedback in some modules. In addition, Typography students have reported that the user experience could be improved and that the interface could be more intuitive. For example, it could contain less layers of information and access to feedback and marks might be more direct.

More broadly, the shift from offline to online practices has been one driver for the Department to reflect on existing assessment practices. In particular, we have begun to consider how we can better support students' assessment literacy and have engaged with students to review new practices. Their feedback, in combination with our broader engagement with the new Curriculum Framework and its impact on Programme Level Assessment, is informing the development of a new set of rubric templates to be adopted in autumn 2018.

LINKS

For further information please see the short blog, 'Curriculum Review in Practice Aligning to the Curriculum Framework-first steps started at:

http://blogs.reading.ac.uk/engage-in-teaching-andlearning/2018/04/09/curriculum-review-in-practice-aligning-tothe-curriculum-framework-first-steps-started-by-jeanne-louisemoys-rob-banham-james-lloyd/

Engage in Teaching and Learning

Teaching and Learning news from around the University of Reading



← Curriculum Framework Conference 2018

Curriculum review in practice Aligning to the Curriculum Framework - first steps started By: Jeanne-Louise Moys, Rob Banham, James Lloyd d on April 9, 2018 by Engage in Teaching & Learning

We're all hearing about the University's new Curriculum Framework in meetings and training. But how do we start to put this process of alignment into action for individual programmes? Three Typography & Graphic Communication (T&GC) colleagues decided to thrash out a clearer strategy for achieving this objective for our BA Graphic Communication programme.

Background

In T&GC, we're currently working on ways to develop more sustainable assessment and feedback practices for increasing numbers of students. In autumn, Jeanne-Louise Moys Subscribe to Blog via Email Enter your email address to subscribe to this blog and receive notifications of new posts by email.

Email Address Subscribe

- Recentre Recent Posts Curriculum review in practice Aligning to the Curriculum Framework first steps started By: Jeanne-Louise Moys, Rob Banham, James Lloyd Curriculum Framework Conference 2018 How pre-sessional English has develop the use of Turnitin, submission, marking and feedback to support student' essay and errom veritime